

## FOCUSED STUDY ACTIVITY WORK PLAN

### General Information

<p><b>*Decision Pool C: Activity paused.</b>          * Activity paused pending outcomes of the Deposition Monitoring Integration Workshop          * It is a requirement that key members of the project team participate in a Deposition Monitoring Integration Workshop to be informed by the Oil Sands Monitoring Secretariat.          *Funding in 2018/19 is dependent upon the findings of the Deposition Monitoring Integration Review and Workshop.</p>	
<b>Work Plan Unique Identifier:</b>	A-IC-4-1718
<b>Focused Study Activity Title:</b>	Atmospheric Deposition – Identification of sources of contaminants in lake sediments and snowpack
<b>Focused Study Category:</b>	Investigation of Cause or Potential Ecological Impact
<b>Geographic Location</b> ( <i>choose from drop-down menu. If Project Location is in more than one area choose from second drop-down</i> )	Athabasca Oil Sands Region      Peace Athabasca Delta
<b>Monitoring Site(s) Coordinates</b> ( <i>latitude and longitude</i> )	
<b>Project Leader (s):</b>	Derek Muir, Chris Marvin, Amila De Silva and Jane Kirk
<b>Organization and contact information:</b>	<b>Environment and Climate Change Canada, Aquatic Contaminants Research Division</b> <b>Email:</b> Derek.Muir@canada.ca, Chris.marvin@canada.ca, Amila.desilva@canada.ca, Jane.Kirk@Canada.ca <b>Phone:</b> 905-319-6921
<b>Date Study initiated:</b>	<b>2017 (as a separate focus study)</b>
<b>Monitoring Category:</b> <i>(From OSM long-term plan; choose from drop-down menu)</i>	Atmospheric Monitoring
<b>Strategic Objective of Focused Study:</b> ( <i>From OSM long-term plan; choose from drop-down menu</i> )	Objective A2: Detect and report levels and trends of oil sands related chemical substances being deposited from the atmosphere
<b>Hypotheses:</b> <i>(Briefly outline the specific hypotheses that your focused study is aiming to address)</i>	Major contributors to atmospheric contaminant deposition have unique chemical signatures that can be identified and statistically separated by analyzing source materials (i.e., pet coke and road haul dust, bitumen ore) and environmental receptors (i.e. snow, lake sediments, water samples, and air) using novel techniques.

<p><b>Deliverables:</b> <i>What tangible goal (s) and/or product(s) will the monitoring produce and when?</i></p>	<p>Identification of major contributors (i.e., pet coke and road haul dust, bitumen ore) to atmospheric contaminant deposition within 125 km of the major OS developments (2018-2019).</p>
---	--

## Detailed Study Plan

(Please provide detailed information on the specifics of your focused study including – **(keywords, hypothesis and the assumptions and constraints behind your hypothesis)**)

Provide a maximum of 10 key words: snow, polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs), organic aerosols, metals, mercury, source attribution

Describe how you will test your hypothesis:

We propose to combine several novel analyses, including 2-dimensional-gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GCxGC-ToF-MS) and liquid chromatography-Orbitrap high resolution mass spectrometry, elemental isotope ratios (mercury, lead, sulfur, + others to be investigated), and carbon particle analysis of both sources (i.e., petroleum coke “pet coke” and road haul dust, bitumen ore) and environmental receptors (i.e. snow, lake sediments, water samples, and air) to identify and statistically separate key sources of atmospheric contaminant deposition. Source samples from different OS industries will be obtained COSIA. Snow and lake sediments will be obtained during our field campaign, water samples will be provided through the Water Quality Monitoring program (Chambers and Cooke), and air extracts for PACs from passive samplers will be obtained from Harner in the Air Quality Research Division.

Initial studies using GCxGC-ToF-MS have shown that snow, sediment, and air samples contain hundreds of PACs that are not being analysed by routine GC-MS methods (Manzano et al. 2016). This work has suggested that select sulphur-, nitrogen- and oxygen-based PACs and their alkylated derivatives show great potential as diagnostic source indicators. Pet coke appears to be a major source material for areas near upgraders based on the recent work of Zhang et al. (2016) using moss from bogs in the OS region, however, that work was based on a limited suite of PACs and did not include other indicators such as metal analyses. Preliminary work on pet coke samples provided by Dr Jon Martin (U of Alberta) has shown that they contain a novel suite of PACs which appear to be very useful for source attribution e.g. allowing the spatial distribution of pet coke to be mapped.

Petroleum coke is formed during bitumen upgrading, which increases the hydrogen-to carbon ratio by removing carbon from the bitumen. Syncrude carries out fluid coking whereas Suncor and CNRL use delayed coking. Delayed coking is done at temperatures of ~415–450 °C and fluid coking is done at ~ 480–565 °C (Jack et al 1979). In delayed coking-liquid feed is reacted with hydrogen in a large drum, and coke accumulates at the bottom with time (CNRL and Suncor) while for fluid coking liquid feed is sprayed into a bed of hot coke particles (Syncrude) (Scott and Fedorak 2004). The result is a carbonaceous, heterogeneous substance

termed “pet coke”. The difference in operating temperatures likely yields different contents of volatiles and other less volatile carbonaceous substances. The sheer volume of coke produced by oil sands companies precludes storing the coke in silos or other isolated structures and thus it is stored outside leading to its potential to disperse off site (Fedorak and Coy 2006).

Preliminary analyses show that only low concentrations of mercury and methyl mercury are present in pet coke (older samples provided by Dr Jon Martin, U of Alberta). A relatively large suite of elements (43) were determined in lichen by ICP-MS by Landis et al (2012) and source identification was investigated with samples of haul road dust, overburden, pet coke and other process materials, as well as upgrader stack particulates. They used 28 elements for the source identification study and concluded that largest impact on elemental concentrations was related to fugitive dust.

Data for other chemical signatures in source materials is gradually emerging due to research sponsored by WBEA as well as independent university based studies. Studabaker et al (2012) analysed a suite of 18 unsubstituted PAHs and 2 alkylated PAHs in refinery process material, mine mineral, haul road dust, and forest fire ash and found distinctly different patterns which could partially distinguish sources. Studabaker et al. (2012) also found that Al, Fe, V, molybdenum, cerium, neodymium, samarium, and praseodymium in lichen correlated with total (unsubstituted and alkylated) PAH concentrations, suggesting that similar transport mechanisms are involved for crustal metals and PAHs near mines. Oil sands facility soils show abundances for almost all 36 PACs (unsubstituted and alkylated PAHs), while only a few species, notably chrysene, are abundant in the forest soils (Wang et al. 2015).

Metal isotopes have also been used to access sources of emissions in the oil sands region (Huang et al 2015). Stack emission particulates from oil sand upgraders have been shown to have different lead isotope ratios to lichen and forest soils (Graney et al 2012). Blum et al (2012) determined mercury isotope ratios in lichen from the oil sands region and found that Hg isotope ratios ( $\Delta^{199}\text{Hg}$  and  $\Delta^{201}\text{Hg}$ ) were higher within 25 km of the oil sands development area. They speculated that this is due to isotope fractionation during partial photochemical reduction and loss of Hg from lichen surfaces. However, they did not investigate source materials. In future work we intend to apply ICP-multicollector mass spectrometry to determine isotope ratios of selected elements in oil sands environmental samples.

Jariyasopit et al (2016) determined PAC transformation products, including nitrated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (NPAHs) and oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (OPAHs) in air in the oil sands region. They found PACs were elevated near mining activities and declined with distance from the source region, while NPAHs and OPAHs exhibited a more variable spatial distribution with the highest levels in Fort McMurray. No previous work has been done on NPAHs and OPAHs in depositional samples. Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-atmospheric pressure photoionization-tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-APPI-MS/MS) has been used for simultaneous analysis of PAHs and NPAHs (Lung and Liu 2015). When combined with high resolution mass spectrometry, UHPLC may be very useful for separating and identifying a broader array of PAC degradation products in oil sands environmental media.

Liggio et al. (2016) assessed of the magnitude of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) production from oil sands emissions and concluded that atmospheric oxidation of low-volatility organic vapours was responsible for the majority of the observed SOA mass. Under the project “Studying OS Air Pollution Emissions, Transformation and Fate”, Liggio and colleagues are studying SOA in more detail using a laboratory based

approach. As part of that study this project (de Silva and Muir) will identify SOA compounds, i.e. C10-C20 carboxylates and other oxidized hydrocarbons, using UHPLC-Orbitrap mass spectrometry. If the lab based SOA results are successful, the analyses will be extended to extracts of snow from the OS area.

### Assumptions and Constraints behind the hypothesis and the testing method:

1. Critical to the source identification focus of this study is the ability to obtain source samples from industry. This has been done in 2016 through COSIA but only from one of 4 upgrader and bitumen mining and processing sites so additional samples are required in 2017-18. Future access to source materials from all major industries in the OS region is important to fully investigate atmospheric sources and this depends on cooperation of the industries and help of COSIA. Update (as of January 2018): As of December 2017 we had received new source samples (mining ore and petroleum coke) from Suncor. With help from COSIA discussions have been held with two other companies (Nexen and Syncrude) for the same materials.
2. There could be other sources of PACs and other contaminants such as mercury, methyl mercury, as well as the 45 elements that are being measured by ICP-MS, besides pet coke, road haul dust, and bitumen ore. These include forest fires, vehicle emissions (particularly from diesel engines), low temperature waste burning, and volatilization from tailings ponds (Parajulee and Wania 2014). Update (as of January 2018): We received extracts of all precipitation samples (2014-2016) from AQRD (River Road) in November 2017 and will be including them in the analysis for novel PACs.
3. Additional analytical method development may be necessary to optimize GCxGC and UHPLC separations of PACs, and degradation products of PACs and SOA. Update (as of January 2018): Progress has been made on this using the LC-Orbitrap MS.
4. Ability to put analytical contracts in place in a timely fashion.
5. Ability to bring in a post-doctoral fellow for the GCxGC studies and to hire a term employee for instrument and analytical support. Update (as of January 2018): Post-doctoral fellow started in February 2017, confirmation of renewal is pending. One year term assignment in place to operate the 2D-GC-TOFMS and support the analytical work.
6. For 2018-19 the study design will be strengthened to include field work, coordinated with the 'Atmospheric Deposition to Lakes and Snowpack' project to include sampling near sources (eg haul roads, coke piles) using a geometrical approach to better assess chemical signatures for source identification and quantification. Update (as of January 2018): Preliminary discussions about this sampling approach have occurred with COSIA with the plan to implement in the planned field work for the atmospheric deposition project.

#### Deliverables:

*What tangible goal (s) and/or product(s) will the monitoring produce and when?*

1. Identification of major contributors (i.e., pet coke and road haul dust, bitumen ore) to atmospheric contaminant deposition within 125 km of the major OS developments (2018-2019).
2. Identify novel PACs and other contaminants including PAC degradation products, and multi-element suites, not routinely measured in oil sands environmental media, and assess their usefulness for future source tracking and for monitoring.
3. Detailed information on spatial and temporal trends of these novel PACs in snow, wet precipitation, air, and sediment (Update as of

	<p>January 2018).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>4. All raw data uploaded to the portal in a timely fashion.</li><li>5. Data published in the peer reviewed literature and presented at conferences.</li><li>6. Data shared with industry partners through the Canadian Oil Sands Innovation Alliance (COSIA) to design effective mitigation strategies if needed.</li></ol>
--	---

DRAFT

## References:

- Fedorak, P.M., Coy, D.L., 2006. Oil sands cokes affect microbial activities. *Fuel* 85, 1642-1651.
- Graney, J.R., Landis, M.S., Krupa, S., 2012. Chapter 15 - Coupling Lead Isotopes and Element Concentrations in Epiphytic Lichens to Track Sources of Air Emissions in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region, in: Kevin, E.P. (Ed.), *Developments in Environmental Science*. Elsevier, pp. 343-372.
- Huang, R., McPhedran, K.N., Yang, L., Gamal El-Din, M., 2016. Characterization and distribution of metal and nonmetal elements in the Alberta oil sands region of Canada. *Chemosphere* 147, 218-229.
- Jack TR, Sullivan EA, Zajic JE. 1979 Comparison of the structure and composition of cokes from the thermal cracking of Athabasca oil sands bitumen. *Fuel*;58:585-588.
- Jariyasopit, N., Harner, T., Wu, D., Williams, A., Halappanavar, S., Su, K., 2016. Mapping Indicators of Toxicity for Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds in the Atmosphere of the Athabasca Oil Sands Region. *Environmental Science and Technology* 50, 11282-11291.
- Landis, M.S., Pancras, J.P., Graney, J.R., Stevens, R.K., Percy, K.E., Krupa, S., 2012. Chapter 18 - Receptor Modeling of Epiphytic Lichens to Elucidate the Sources and Spatial Distribution of Inorganic Air Pollution in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region, in: Kevin, E.P. (Ed.), *Developments in Environmental Science*. Elsevier, pp. 427-467.
- Liggio, J., Li, S-M., Hayden, K., Taha, Y.M., Stroud, C., Darlington, A., Drollette, B.D., Gordon, M., Lee, P., Liu, P., Leithead, A., et al. Oil sands operations as a large source of secondary organic aerosols. *Nature* 2016; 534: 91-93.
- Lung, S.-C.C., Liu, C.-H., 2015. Fast analysis of 29 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and nitro-PAHs with ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-atmospheric pressure photoionization-tandem mass spectrometry. *Scientific Reports* 5, 12992.
- Manzano, C.A., Muir, D., Kirk, J., Teixeira, C., Siu, M., Wang, X., Charland, J.-P., Schindler, D., Kelly, E., 2016. Temporal variation in the deposition of polycyclic aromatic compounds in snow in the Athabasca Oil Sands area of Alberta. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 188, 1-12.
- Manzano, C.A., Muir, D., Marvin, C., 2016. Separation of thia-arenes and aza-arenes from polycyclic aromatics in snowpack samples from the Athabasca oil sands region by GC×GC/ToF-MS. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 96, 905-920.
- Parajulee, A., Wania, F., 2014. Evaluating officially reported polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon emissions in the Athabasca oil sands region with a multimedia fate model. *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci.* 111, 3344-3349.
- Scott, A.C., Fedorak, P.M., 2004. Petroleum coking: a review of coking processes and the characteristics, stability, and environmental aspects of coke produced by the oil sands companies. Report prepared for Suncor Energy Inc., Syncrude Canada Ltd., and Canadian Natural Resources Ltd. University of Alberta, Department of Biological Sciences, Edmonton, AB, Canada
- Studabaker, W.B., Krupa, S., Jayanty, R.K.M., Raymer, J.H., 2012. Measurement of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Epiphytic Lichens for Receptor Modeling in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region (AOSR): A Pilot Study, in: Percy, K. (Ed.), *Developments in Environmental Science*, Vol. 11. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 391-425.
- Summers, J.C., Kurek, J., Kirk, J.L., Muir, D.C.G., Wang, X., Wiklund, J.A., Cooke, C.A., Evans, M.S., Smol, J.P., 2016. Recent warming, rather than industrial emissions of bioavailable nutrients, is the dominant driver of lake primary production shifts across the Athabasca Oil Sands Region. *PLoS ONE* 11.
- Wang, X., J. C. Chow, S. D. Kohl, K. E. Percy, A.H. Legge, J.G. Watson. 2015. Characterization of PM2.5 and PM10 fugitive dust source profiles in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 65:1421-1433.
- Zhang, Y., Shotyk, W., Zaccone, C., Noernberg, T., Pelletier, R., Bicalho, B., Froese, D.G., Davies, L., Martin, J.W., 2016. Airborne Petcoke Dust is a Major Source of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region. *Environmental Science & Technology* 50, 1711-1720.

## Data Management

If this work generates data please summarize your project-level data management plan.

Deliverables	Timeframe
Data Collection Period: <i>Field work</i>	Start : 2016-09-14      End: 2017-09-14
Data Analysis Period: <i>Laboratory analysis and QA/QC of data</i>	Start : 2016-09-14      End: 2018-03-31
Data Release Date: <i>Metadata and data consistent, complete and meet basic standard format for publication in Open Data; on or linked to JOSM portal</i>	2018-03-31

## Reporting and Publications

Provide information on the anticipated reports / publications. (Insert additional rows if needed)

Expected Subject/Titles of Publications or Reports	Short Description of Publication or Report	Expected Year of Publication
Spatial and temporal trends of novel PACs in snow, sediments and air in the oil sands region. In prep.	Reports on the determination of novel PACs in environmental media previously analysed for conventional PACs	2017
Identification of novel PACs in pet coke, road haul dust and bitumen from the Alberta oil sands region	Reports on the analysis of source materials from the oil sands region received in 2016-17	2018
Metal isotope ratios in pet coke, road haul dust and bitumen and environmental samples	Reports on the analysis of source materials from the oil sands region received in 2016-17	2018

## Technical / Professional Roles and Responsibilities

Identify members of the monitoring team/organization, their roles and responsibilities. Identify monitoring organization leads if different from overall monitoring activity lead. (Insert additional rows if needed)

Role	Responsibilities	Resource Name/Organization
Project Lead	- Project coordinator and principal investigator on PACs in snow, water, air, and sediments	ECCC
Project Lead	- Project coordinator and principal investigator on metals and methyl mercury in snow and mercury in sediments	ECCC
Project Lead	- Principal co-investigator on PACs in snow, water, air and sediments	ECCC
Project Lead	- Principal co-investigator on PACs degradation products analyzable by UPLC-Orbitrap MS	ECCC
Post-doctoral fellow	- Interpretation and write up of PACs data in snow - Identification and quantification of novel PACs in snow, sediments, water and source materials using GCxGC – Time of Flight-Mass Spectrometry	ECCC (Jan 1 2017-Dec 31 2019)
Post-doctoral fellow	- Interpretation and write up of metals data in snow - Source attribution studies to identify relative importance of pet coke/fugitive dusts etc. to contaminants loads (using metal isotope ratios, carbon particle analysis)	ECCC (Jan 1 2017-Dec 31 2017)
1 CH-01 Term	- support for GCxGC-time of flight mass spectrometry analysis of source materials and environmental samples including extractions, isolation steps and servicing of the instrument (changing gases, GC columns)	ECCC
1 EG-04 Term	- Processing of water chemistry and metals in snow samples - Extraction of PACs in snow	ECCC
1 EG-04 Term	- Analysis of trace methyl mercury in snow and total mercury analysis in lake sediments - QA/QC and data uploads to the portal	ECCC
Technical Support	- Analysis of PACs in snow	ECCC, Pacific and Yukon Laboratory for Environmental Testing
Technical Support	- Extraction of snow (separate filtered particles and dissolved phases)	ECCC



	- GC-MS analysis of selected PACs and reference materials	
--	---	--

**Deliverables (Year 1)** If your Focus Study is longer than 1 year then complete **Appendix C** for multi-year deliverables breakdown

Provide a summary of tangible quarterly deliverables. Identify major project areas (deliverables) and results that can be identified as a tangible goal. This could include: field work, lab work/ analysis, evaluation, data, reports, publications, SOPs etc. Do not define process as your Deliverable e.g. ‘fly to Ft. McMurray to conduct fieldwork’ or ‘seek Director approval for report’.

<b>Deliverable(s)</b> (please provide enough information to support status reporting)	
<b>Q1 – April to June</b>	
Lab analyses of source materials and existing and new sample extracts for PACs in OS snow and sediment cores by use of 2D-GC-TOF-MS.	
<b>Q2 – July to September</b>	
Publication on “Spatial and temporal trends of novel PACs in snow, sediments and air in the oil sands region” which reports on the determination of novel PACs in environmental media previously analyzed for conventional PACs.	
<b>Q3 – October to December</b>	
SETAC North America presentation on “Spatial and temporal patterns of novel PACs in snow in the Athabasca oil sands region (Alberta, Canada).”	
<b>Q4 – January to March</b>	
Initial report cataloging novel PACs in snow and other environmental media – for output to the portal	

## Detailed Financial Breakdown – Year 1 of 3 (2017-2018)

Also complete **Appendix B** for the multi-year financial breakdown

Budget requirements – List areas that require budget expenditures: (ADD OR DELETE BUDGET CATEGORIES AS REQUIRED)	OS Funding	External Funding (outside JOSM)
<b>O&amp;M - Operations and Maintenance:</b>		
Helicopter Costs	-	\$
Data Management	-	\$
Publication costs (open access)	\$1,500	
TMU related analyses (multielement suite and water chemistry at NLET; PAC analysis by PYLET)	-	
Internal Lab Analysis (mercury and methyl Hg analyses in Kirk lab; core dating by Muir/Yang)	-	\$
Consumable Materials & Supplies (GCxGC, UPLC consumables)	\$30,000	\$
<b>Sub-Total</b> (before other related costs)	\$31,500	\$
<b>O&amp;M - Travel</b>		
Field Work	-	\$
Conferences (identify conference) SETAC North America X 1 (\$3100)	\$3000	\$
Meeting (identify meeting) OS Symposium, Integration workshop, and/or meetings with AEP and ASTD as needs arise (=\$2000)	\$2000	\$
Training – 2D-GC-TOF maintenance	\$3000	
<b>Sub-Total</b> (not corrected with spreadsheet info)	\$8000	\$
<b>O&amp;M - External Contracts :</b>		
Goods and Services Contract ( <i>describe contractor</i> ) Service contract for GCxGC	\$20,000	\$
External Lab Analysis Contract to specialized paleolimnology laboratory	-	\$
<b>Sub-Total</b> (not corrected with spreadsheet info)	\$20,000	\$
<b>Salaries:</b>		
Principal Investigator	\$	\$21,586
Technical / Professional Assistants	\$	\$23,022

<b>Budget requirements – List areas that require budget expenditures: (ADD OR DELETE BUDGET CATEGORIES AS REQUIRED)</b>	<b>OS Funding</b>	<b>External Funding (outside JOSM)</b>
Field Staff (overtime)	-	\$
Visiting Fellow	<b>\$60,000</b>	\$
Technical / Professional Assistants:	<b>\$69,816</b>	
Students	-	\$
<b>Total Salaries</b>		
	<b>\$69,816</b>	<b>\$44,608</b>
<b>Total O&amp;M</b>		
	<b>\$119,500</b>	
<b>2017-2018 GRAND TOTAL *(before other related costs)</b>	<b>\$189,316</b>	<b>\$44,608</b>

## Appendix A - Approvals

<b>Project Submitted by:</b>		
Name: Derek Muir		
Organization: ECCC	Signature:	Date:
<b>Project Approved by:</b>		
Signature		Signature
Date		Date

## Activity Planning Review and Evaluation

*To be completed by OSM Administration*

Date Completed	Review type	Validated by (insert name and title)
	Program Management review completed	

**APPENDIX B – Detailed Multi-year Financial Breakdown** (Complete the following detailed financial breakdown; add or delete categories as required)

Budget requirements	Year 1 (2017- 2018)		Year 2 (2018- 2019)		Year 3 (2019- 2020)	
	Cash	In-kind	Cash	In-kind	Cash	In-kind
1) Salaries and benefits						
a) Investigators		21586		21586		21586
b) Technical/professional assistants	69816	23022	69816	23022	69816	23022
c) Field Staff						
d) Visiting Fellows	60000		60000		60000	
2) Operations and maintenance						
a) Facilities						
b) Equipment (instrument service contract)	20000		20000		20000	
c) Lab analysis	30000		30000		30000	
d) Data management						
e) Field work						
3) Consumable Materials and supplies						
a)						
b)						
4) Travel						
a) Conferences and meetings	3000		3000		3000	
b) Field work						
c) Project-related travel	5000		2000		2000	

5) Dissemination & Engagement						
a) Publications/Reports	1500		1500		1500	
b) Translation (if required)						
c) Communications						
d) Stakeholder Engagement						
e) Indigenous Peoples Engagement						
6) External Contracts						
<b>Grand Total</b> (before other related costs)	189316	44608	186,316	44608	189316	44608

**\*Total Salary for ECCC (\$69,816) in 2018-19 with other related costs is 96,011. Total O&M for ECCC (\$116,500) in 2018-19 with other related costs is \$130,353. The Grand Total for ECCC with other related costs in 2018-19 is 226,634.**

\*Total Salary for ECCC (\$69,816) in 2017-18 with other related costs is 96,011. Total O&M for ECCC (\$119,500) in 2017-18 with other related costs is \$133,476. The Grand Total for ECCC with other related costs in 2018-19 is 229,487.

**APPENDIX C –Years 2 and 3 Deliverables** (Complete the following detailed breakdown. Provide a summary of tangible quarterly deliverables and your anticipated expenditures. Identify major project areas (deliverables) and results that can be identified as a tangible goal.)

<b>Year 2 (2018-2019)</b>	
<b>Deliverable(s)</b> (please provide enough information to support status reporting)	
<b>Q1 – April to June</b>	
Continuation of lab analyses of source materials and existing and new sample extracts for PACs in OS snow and sediment cores by use of 2D-GC-TOF-MS and LC-Orbitrap. Contract for application of ICP-multicollector mass spectrometry to determine isotope ratios of selected elements in oil sands environmental samples	
<b>Q2 – July to September</b>	
Planning for geometrical approach to better assess chemical signatures for source identification and quantification with cooperation of COSIA	
Possible peer reviewed publication on identification of oxidized hydrocarbon compounds in secondary organic aerosols in the oil sands region	
<b>Q3 – October to December</b>	
SETAC North America presentation on "Spatial patterns of novel PACs in snow in the Athabasca oil sands region."	
<b>Q4 – January to March</b>	
Field work coordinated with the 'Atmospheric Deposition to Lakes and Snowpack" project to include sampling near sources (e.g., haul roads, coke piles) using a geometrical approach to better assess chemical signatures for source identification and quantification.	
Updated report cataloging novel PACs and elemental isotope ratios in snow and other environmental media – for output to the portal	